Appln. No. 10/763, 264 Amdt. dated October 27, 2005 Reply to Office Action of July 27, 2005

. .

REMARKS

The Official Action of July 27, 2005, and the prior art cited and relied upon therein have been carefully studied. The claims in the application are now claims 1, 3-9, and these claims define patentable subject matter warranting their allowance. Favorable reconsideration and such allowance are respectfully urged.

Claim 2 has been canceled. Claims 1 and 3-9 remain in the application for consideration.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his indication that claims 2-9 would be allowable subject to being rewritten in independent form. In response, Applicant has amended claim 1 to include the allowable features of canceled claim 2. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that amended independent claim 1 is now allowable along with dependent claims 3-9, and that this application is now in condition for allowance.

Acknowledgement by the PTO of the receipt of applicants' papers filed under Section 119 is noted.

The prior art documents made of record and not relied upon have been noted along with the implication that such documents are deemed by the PTO to be insufficiently

Appln. No. 10/763, 264 Amdt. dated October 27, 2005 Reply to Office Action of July 27, 2005

pertinent to warrant their applications against any of applicant's claims.

pavorable reconsideration and allowance are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Norman J. Latker

Registration No. 19,963

NJL:ma

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528
c:\BM\C\Corr\Vssanellil\Pto\Amendment-A.doc